

$T\bar{T}$  deformations and the pp wave  
correspondence

Horatiu Nastase  
IFT-UNESP

IGST 2023

Zurich, Switzerland  
19 June 2023

based on: HN and Cobi Sonnenschein, 2207.02257

## Summary:

- 1. Historical background and introduction
- 2.  $T\bar{T}$  deformations in 2d, 4d and 1d
- 3. Penrose limits of single-trace  $T\bar{T}$  deformations of  $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$  and  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  vs.  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM
- 4.  $T\bar{T}$  deformations of string worldsheet on  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  pp wave vs.  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM spin chain deformation
- 5. Conclusions.

## 1a. Historical background

- $\exists$  pp wave solutions: In M theory,  
(see also Figueroa-O'Farrill, Papadopoulos, hep-th/0106308)

$$ds^2 = 2dx^+ dx^- + H(x^+, x^i)(dx^+)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^9 dx_i^2$$

$$F_4 = dx^- \wedge d\varphi, \quad \Delta H = \frac{1}{2}|\varphi|^2$$

- In particular, important class:  $H(x^+, x^i) = \sum_{i,j} A_{ij} x^i x^j$ .
- Kowalski-Glikman solution (PLB 1984)

$$A_{ij} = \begin{cases} -\frac{\mu^2}{9}\delta_{ij}, & i = 1, 2, 3 \\ -\frac{\mu^2}{3}\delta_{ij}, & i = 4, \dots, 9 \end{cases}$$
$$\varphi = \mu dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3$$

has maximal susy! Only other such solutions (theorem) are  $Mink_{11}$ ,  $AdS_4 \times S^7$  and  $AdS_7 \times S^4$ .

- Blau, Figueroa-O'Farrill,  
Hull, Papadopoulos, hep-th/0110242  
new type II B solution:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{ij} &= -\mu^2 \delta_{ij}, \varphi = \mu(\omega + *\omega) \\ \omega &= dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3 \wedge dx^4. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$ds^2 = 2dx^+ dx^- - \mu^2 \sum_{i=1}^8 (x^i)^2 (dx^+)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^8 (dx^i)^2$$

$$F_5 = \pm \frac{\mu}{2} dx^+ \wedge (dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3 \wedge dx^4 + dx^5 \wedge dx^6 \wedge dx^7 \wedge dx^8)$$

is also a max. susy solution of type IIB! Only other is *Mink*<sub>10</sub> and *AdS*<sub>5</sub> × *S*<sup>5</sup>! (also a theorem)

- Moreover, pp wave solutions receive no quantum string corrections Horowitz and Steif (PRL 1990) ⇒ exact string solutions! Like *AdS*<sub>5</sub> × *S*<sup>5</sup> and Minkowski.

- String in type IIB pp wave (D. Berenstein, J. Maldacena, HN, 2002): massive scalars,

$$S = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \int dt \int_0^{2\pi\alpha'p^+} d\sigma \left\{ \sum_I \left[ \frac{(\dot{X}^I)^2}{2} - \frac{(X'^I)^2}{2} - \mu^2 \frac{(X^I)^2}{2} \right] + \text{fermi} \right\}$$

- Go to  $H$  and discretize  $\sigma \Rightarrow$

$$H = \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'} \sum_i \sum_I \left[ \frac{(\dot{X}_i^I)^2}{2} + \frac{(X_i^I - X_{i+1}^I)^2}{2a^2} + \mu^2 \frac{(X_i^I)^2}{2} \right] + \text{fermi.}$$

- Can we get it from  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM on the boundary  $S^3 \times \mathbb{R}_t$  (of global  $AdS_5 \times S^5$ ), KK reduced on  $S^3$  to QM Hamiltonian on  $\mathbb{R}_t$ ? Use CFT operator-state correspondence for  $\mathbb{R}^4$  vs.  $S^3 \times \mathbb{R}_t$  and define a Hamiltonian acting on states.
- Gopakumar and Gross (NPB 1995): states obtained in large  $N$ , by considering only planar "words" are acted upon by Cuntz oscillators,  $a_\alpha a_\beta^\dagger = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$ ,  $\sum_\alpha a_\alpha^\dagger a_\alpha = \mathbb{1} - |0\rangle\langle 0|$ .
- But now also, for "dilute gas approximation" states, replace by "Cuntz oscillators at each site",  $a_j a_j^\dagger = \mathbb{1}$ ,  $a_j^\dagger a_j = \mathbb{1} - |0\rangle\langle 0|$ .

- Then, the Hamiltonian is (modulo  $1/J$  corrections)

$$H = \sum_{I,i} \left\{ b_i^{I\dagger} b_i^I + \frac{g_s N}{2\pi} \left[ (b_i^I + b_i^{I\dagger}) - (b_{i+1}^I + b_{i+1}^{I\dagger}) \right]^2 \right\}$$

and reduces to string pp wave Ham. for  $X_i^I = (b_i^I + b_i^{I\dagger})/\sqrt{2}$ .

- Moreover, diagonalization: standard in condensed matter. Go to discrete momentum space,  $b_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{J}} \sum_{n=1}^J e^{\frac{2\pi i j n}{J}} a_n$ , choose backward  $\pm$  forward waves,  $a_{\pm n}^I = (c_{n,1}^I \pm c_{n,2}^I)/\sqrt{2}$  and do a Bogoliubov transformation (mixing  $c$ 's and  $c^\dagger$ 's into  $\tilde{c}$ 's), to find free oscillators ( $H = \sum_n \omega_n (\tilde{c}_{n,1}^\dagger \tilde{c}_{n,1} + \tilde{c}_{n,2}^\dagger \tilde{c}_{n,2})$ ) with

$$\omega_n = \sqrt{1 + \frac{4g_s N}{\pi} \sin^2 \frac{\pi n}{J}}$$

- Thus, "BMN states"

$$a_n^I a_{-n}^J |0\rangle = \frac{1}{N^{J/2+1}} \sum_{l=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^J e^{\frac{2\pi i l n}{J}} e^{-\frac{2\pi i k n}{J}} \text{Tr} [Z^l \Phi^I Z^{k-l} \Phi^J Z^{J-k}]$$

- Finally:  $\omega_n^{1-loop approx.} \sim 1 + \frac{\lambda}{2\pi^2} \sin^2 \frac{\pi n}{J} = 1 + \frac{\lambda}{2\pi^2} \sin^2 \frac{p}{2}$   
 $(4\pi g_s N = g_{YM}^2 N = \lambda)$  is = 1 + eigenvalue of  $H_{XXX1/2}$  (Heisenberg Ham.)  $\rightarrow$  integrability, Bethe ansatz (standard one).



## 1b. Introduction

- Use pp wave (Penrose limit) to better understand AdS/CFT dualities.
- Understand what deformations we can have for the pp wave method.
- $\exists$  interesting  $T\bar{T}$  deformation: preserves integrability

- $T\bar{T}$  deformation in holography:  
motion in the bulk, vs. deforming the gravity background
- Latter is well defined in  $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$ , but for "single-trace deformations", given by TsT transformation in bulk.
- Extend to  $AdS_5 \times S^5$ :  $(T_s T)^2$ . Take Penrose limit  $\rightarrow$  first on  $T\bar{T}(AdS_3 \times S^3 \times T^4)$ , then on  $T\bar{T}(AdS_5 \times S^5)$ .
- Interpret in  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM: spin chain of dipole theory, likely noncommutative.

- 
- $T\bar{T}$  deformation of discretized string worldsheet in  $AdS_5 \times S^5 \rightarrow$  difficult, not clear.
- Discretize, then deform: QM spin chain  $\rightarrow$  OK. (use Gross et al., 2019)
- In  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM: deform the *large charge sector*, to an equivalent one.

## 2. $T\bar{T}$ deformations in 2d, 4d and 1d

- Deformation defined in 2d, by  $T(z)\bar{T}(\bar{z})$  (Zamolodchikov). Equivalently, by  $(\det T_{\mu\nu}) \rightarrow$  understood in terms of renormalized quantities, by normal ordering  $\frac{1}{8}(T_{\alpha\beta}T^{\alpha\beta} - (T^\alpha_\alpha)^2)$ , in point splitting regularization.
- But, equivalently, (Cavaglia, Negro, Szeczenyi, Tateo 2016 and Bonelli, Doroud, Zhou, 2018) realized that can deform classical  $\mathcal{L}$  by  $\det T_{\mu\nu}$  at each point in deformation,

$$\partial_t S = \frac{1}{2} \int d^2x \sqrt{g} [(\epsilon^{\mu\nu} \epsilon^{\rho\sigma}) T_{\mu\rho} T_{\nu\sigma}] = \int d^2x \sqrt{g} \det T_{\mu\nu}$$

• Generalization to higher dimensions: several possibilities.

1.  $\epsilon^{\mu\nu}\epsilon^{\rho\sigma} = g^{\mu\rho}g^{\nu\sigma} - g^{\nu\rho}g^{\mu\sigma}$  can be generalized

2. power of  $\det T_{\mu\nu}$ :  $(-\det T_{\mu\nu})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$ .

3. (Marika Taylor 2018) with  $T^{\mu\nu}T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{D-1}T^{\mu}_{\mu}T^{\nu}_{\nu}$ .

4. ...

• What is the holographic dual?

• McGough, Mezei, Verlinde 2016: RG flow in  $r = 1/z$  (radial coordinate)  $\Rightarrow$  we can define theory by Dirichlet boundary condition at  $z = \epsilon$  instead of at  $z = 0$ :  $T\bar{T}$  deformation.

- But not satisfying  $\rightarrow$  what is the normal holographic dual (defined at  $z = 0$ ) of  $T\bar{T}$  deformation?
- For  $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$  with NS flux  $\leftrightarrow \mathcal{M}^p/\mathfrak{S}_p$ . Instead of double trace  $T(z)\bar{T}(\bar{z}) = (\sum_{i=1}^p T_i(z))(\sum_{j=1}^p \bar{T}_j(\bar{z}))$ , **single trace def.:** construct string worldsheet vertex operators for "single trace",

$$"T(z)\bar{T}(\bar{z})" = \sum_{i=1}^p T_i(z)\bar{T}_i(\bar{z})$$

$\rightarrow$  similar properties to double trace deformation Giveon, Itzhaki, Kutasov, 2017.

- Corresponds to TsT (T-duality/shift/T-duality) transformation (via string worldsheet vertex operators) on  $CFT_2$  directions  $x$  and  $t$ .

•  $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$  with NS flux,

$$R^{-2}ds^2 = e^{2\rho}(-dt^2 + dx^2) + d\rho^2 + \frac{1}{4}(\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 + \sigma_3^2) + ds^2(T^4)$$

$$H = -2e^{2\rho}dt \wedge dx \wedge d\rho + \frac{1}{4}\sigma_1 \wedge \sigma_2 \wedge \sigma_3 ,$$

via TsT with shift  $x \rightarrow x + \gamma t$ ,  $-2\gamma = l_s^2/\tilde{R}^2$ , leads to ( $R^2 \sim l_s^2 k$ )

$$\frac{ds^2}{l_s^2} = \frac{k(-dt^2 + dx^2)}{\frac{l_s^2}{\tilde{R}^2} + e^{-2\phi}} + kd\phi^2 + kds_{S^3}^2 + ds_{T^4}^2$$

$$e^{2\Phi} = \frac{vk e^{-2\phi}}{p \frac{l_s^2}{\tilde{R}^2} + e^{-2\phi}} \equiv e^{2\Phi_0} \frac{e^{-2\phi}}{\frac{l_s^2}{\tilde{R}^2} + e^{-2\phi}} ,$$

$$H = -\frac{2e^{2\phi}}{\left(1 + \frac{l_s^2}{\tilde{R}^2}e^{2\phi}\right)^2} dt \wedge dx \wedge d\phi + \frac{1}{4}\sigma_1 \wedge \sigma_2 \wedge \sigma_3$$

•  $AdS_5 \times S^5$ : do 2TsT's, on (01) and (23) (conjectured to be dual to noncommutative theory, Maldacena, Russo 1999; Hashimoto, Itzhaki 1999) on Euclidean theory, then back:

$$ds^2 = \frac{e^{2\rho}(-dt^2 + d\vec{x}^2)}{1 + \gamma^2 e^{4\rho}} + d\rho^2 + ds_{S^5}^2$$

$$B_{01} = B_{23} = \frac{\gamma e^{2\rho}}{e^{-2\rho} + \gamma^2 e^{2\rho}} \Rightarrow H_{23\rho} = H_{01\rho} = \partial_\rho B_{01} = -\frac{4\gamma}{(e^{-2\rho} + \gamma^2 e^{2\rho})^2}$$

$$\Phi = \Phi_0 - \log e^{2\rho} - \log(e^{-2\rho} + \gamma^2 e^{2\rho}) \Rightarrow e^{2\Phi} = e^{2\Phi_0} \left( \frac{e^{-2\rho}}{e^{-2\rho} + \gamma^2 e^{2\rho}} \right)^2 .$$



- One dimension (QM) (Gross, Kruthoff, Rolph, Shaghoulian, 2019). In 2d,

$$\frac{\partial S_E(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = \int d^2x \sqrt{\gamma} 8T\bar{T} ,$$

but, assuming the McGough, Mezei, Verlinde holography, on the flow  $\Rightarrow T^\mu{}_\mu = -16\lambda T\bar{T}$  and then one finds

$$\frac{\partial S_E(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = \int d^2x \sqrt{\gamma} \frac{(T^\tau{}_\tau)^2 + T_{\tau\phi} T^{\tau\phi}}{1/2 - 2\lambda T^\tau{}_\tau}$$

and the energy deformation

$$E(\lambda) = \frac{1}{4\lambda} \left( 1 - \sqrt{1 - 8\lambda E_0 + 16\lambda^2 J^2} \right) .$$

- Dimensional reduction:  $T_{\tau\phi} = T^{\tau\phi} = 0$  and  $T^{\tau}_{\tau} = T$ , then as if  $J = 0$  above,

$$E(\lambda) = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 8\lambda E_0}}{4\lambda},$$

leading to a deformed Hamiltonian that is a function of the undeformed one,

$$H(\lambda) = \frac{1}{4\lambda} \left( 1 - \sqrt{1 - 8\lambda H_0} \right) = f_{\lambda}(H_0).$$

### 3. Penrose limits of single-trace $T\bar{T}$ deformations of $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$ and $AdS_5 \times S^5$ vs. $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM

- After a transformation of coordinates, we can put the metric in the form in the Penrose theorem,

$$R^{-2}ds^2 = 2dVdU + \alpha dV^2 + \sum_i \beta_i dV dY^i + \sum_{i,j} C_{ij} dY^i dY^j$$

after which we do Penrose's rescaling

$$U = u, \quad V = \frac{v}{R^2}, \quad Y^i = \frac{y^i}{R}, \quad R \rightarrow \infty$$

- Results in pp wave in Rosen coordinates; need to transform to usual Brinkmann coords.

- $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$ : motion in  $(t, \rho, \psi)$  gives pp wave metric

$$ds^2 = 2dx^+ dx^- + H(x^+) (dx^+)^2 + d\tilde{\varphi}^2 + d\tilde{x}_2^2 + d\tilde{y}_2^2 + ds^2(T^4),$$

$$H(x^+) = A_{\tilde{\varphi}\tilde{\varphi}} \tilde{\varphi}^2 + A_{\tilde{x}\tilde{x}} \tilde{x}_2^2 + A_{\tilde{y}\tilde{y}} \tilde{y}_2^2.$$

where

$$A_{\tilde{y}\tilde{y}} = -16\mu^2, \quad A_{\tilde{\varphi}\tilde{\varphi}} = -8\mu^2 \frac{1 - 4\gamma e^{2\rho}}{(1 + 2\gamma e^{2\rho})^2}$$

$$A_{\tilde{x}\tilde{x}} = -\frac{4}{(1 + 2\gamma e^{2\rho})^2} [(1 + 2\gamma e^{2\rho})(\gamma E^2 + \mu^2) - 6\gamma\mu^2 e^{2\rho}],$$

$$e^{\rho(x^+)} = \frac{E}{\sqrt{4\mu^2 - 2\gamma}} \sin\left(x^+ \sqrt{4\mu^2 - 2\gamma}\right), \quad \text{if } 4\mu^2 - 2\gamma > 0,$$

and also a B field: only  $\rho(x^+)$  dependence.

• In light-cone gauge  $x^+ = \tau$  and conformal gauge, the string action is

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_{\text{string}} = & -\frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int_0^{2\pi\alpha'p^+} d\sigma \int d\tau \left[ \eta^{ab} \sum_{i \neq \pm} \partial_a X^i \partial_b X^i - 8\mu^2 \tilde{\varphi}^2 \frac{1 - 4\gamma e^{2\rho(\tau)}}{1 + 2\gamma e^{2\rho(\tau)}} - 16\mu^2 \tilde{y}_2^2 \right. \\
 & - 4\tilde{x}^2 \frac{(1 + 2\gamma e^{2\rho(\tau)})(\mu^2 + \gamma E^2) - 6\gamma\mu^2 e^{2\rho}}{(1 + 2\gamma e^{2\rho(\tau)})^2} \\
 & \left. - E\partial_1 x' + 4\mu\tau \sin^2(4\mu\tau)(\partial_0 y_1 \partial_1 y_2 - \partial_1 y_1 \partial_0 y_2) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

- $AdS_5 \times S^5$ : motion in  $(t, \rho, \psi)$ , so pp wave metric

$$ds^2 = 2dx^+ dx^- + \left[ A_{\varphi\varphi} \varphi^2 + A_{\vec{x}\vec{x}} \vec{x}_3^2 + A_{\vec{y}\vec{y}} \vec{y}_4^2 \right] (dx^+)^2 + d\tilde{\varphi}^2 + (d\vec{x}_3)^2 + (d\vec{y}_4)^2 ,$$

$$A_{\vec{y}\vec{y}} = -\mu^2 , \quad A_{\varphi\varphi} = -2\mu^2 \frac{1 - 8\gamma^2 e^{4\rho} - \gamma^4 e^{8\rho}}{(1 + \gamma^2 e^{4\rho})^2}$$

$$A_{\vec{x}\vec{x}} = -\frac{E^2(1 + \gamma^2 e^{4\rho}) - \mu^2 e^{2\rho}}{(1 + \gamma^2 e^{4\rho})^2} 2\gamma^2 e^{2\rho} (3 - \gamma^2 e^{4\rho}) - (-2E^2 \gamma^2 e^{2\rho} + \mu^2) \frac{1 - \gamma^2 e^{4\rho}}{1 + \gamma^2 e^{4\rho}} ,$$

and a B field: also  $\rho(x^+)$  dependence

- The string action in light-cone gauge  $x^+ = \tau$  and conformal gauge is

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{string}} = & -\frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'} \int_0^{2\pi\alpha'p^+} d\sigma \int d\tau \left\{ \eta^{ab} \sum_{i \neq \pm} \partial_a X^i \partial_b X^i - 2\mu^2 \tilde{\varphi}^2 \frac{1 - 8\gamma^2 e^{4\rho(\tau)} - \gamma^4 e^{8\rho(\tau)}}{(1 + \gamma^2 e^{4\rho(\tau)})^2} \right. \\
& - \mu^2 \tilde{y}_4^2 - \tilde{x}_3^2 \left[ (-2E^2 \gamma^2 e^{2\rho(\tau)} + \mu^2) \frac{1 - \gamma^2 e^{4\rho(\tau)}}{1 + \gamma^2 e^{4\rho(\tau)}} \right. \\
& \left. \left. + \frac{E^2(1 + \gamma^2 e^{4\rho(\tau)}) - \mu^2 e^{2\rho(\tau)}}{(1 + \gamma^2 e^{4\rho(\tau)})^2} 2\gamma^2 e^{2\rho(\tau)} (3 - \gamma^2 e^{4\rho(\tau)}) \right] \right\} + \gamma e^{2\rho(\tau)} \partial_\sigma x'_1 .
\end{aligned}$$

## $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM interpretation:

- As usual,  $X^i = X_0^i \exp[-i\omega\tau + ik_i\sigma]$ ,  $k_{i,n} = \frac{n_i}{\alpha'p^+}$ .
- Only simple modes are  $\tilde{y}_i$ , with the usual

$$\frac{\omega_y}{\mu} = \sqrt{1 + \frac{n_i^2}{(\mu\alpha'p^+)^2}}.$$



## Symmetries

- $AdS_5 \times S^5$ : In pp limit,  $PSU(2, 2|4) \supset SO(4, 2) \times SO(6)$  breaks to  $[SO(4)_1 \times SO(2)_1] \times [SO(4)_2 \times SO(2)_2]$ .  $SO(2)_1$ :  $X^+$  translations,  $SO(2)_2$ :  $X^-$  translations.
- $T\bar{T}$  def.:  $X^+ = \tau$  not a symmetry  $\Rightarrow \nexists SO(2)_1$ ;  $SO(4)_1 \rightarrow SO(3) \rightarrow SO(2)'_1$ . So  $[SO(2)'_1] \times [SO(6)] \rightarrow [SO(2)'_1] \times [SO(4) \times SO(2)_2]$
- But  $\exists$  also generators  $e_i$  and  $e_i^*$ , and  $e = -p_-$ . Undeformed or deformed, same:

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_{e_i} &= -\cos(\mu x^+) \partial_i - \mu \sin(\mu x^+) \tilde{y}^i \partial_- \\ \xi_{e_i^*} &= -\mu \sin(\mu x^+) \partial_i + \mu^2 \cos(\mu x^+) \tilde{y}^i \partial_- .\end{aligned}$$

- pp algebra:  $a_i \sim e_i + e_i^*$ ,  $M_{ij} = x_i \partial_j - x_j \partial_i = i(a_i^\dagger a_j - a_j^\dagger a_i)$   
 $h = -p_+ = -\mu \sum_i a_i^\dagger a_i$ ,  $M_{23} = x_2 \partial_3 - x_3 \partial_2$ .

- $SO(4)_2$  maintained  $\leftrightarrow$  R-symmetry of 4 of the fermions (with  $J > 0$ )  $\Rightarrow$  susy still  $\mathcal{N} = 4$ .
- Spin chain:  $Z = X^1 + iX^2$  charged under  $J = i\partial_\psi$ ,  $\Phi^i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ . Insertions into  $\text{Tr}[Z^J]$  of string modes.
- Undeformed case:  $D_i Z = \partial_i Z + [A_i, Z]$  and  $\Phi^i$ .
- Deformed case: still 2 index refers to transverse scalar symmetry, so insertions of  $\Phi^i$  unchanged. Also, interactions are still  $[\Phi^i, \Phi^j]^2$ .
- But  $D_i Z$  insertions changed: (01) and (23) singled out  $\Rightarrow$  dipole theory, likely noncommutative.

## 4. $T\bar{T}$ deformations of string worldsheet on $AdS_5 \times S^5$ pp wave, vs. $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM spin chain deformation

- One possibility:  $T\bar{T}$  deform string worldsheet, then discretize. Still corresponds to a spin chain? Unclear (likely no):

$$L = \int dx \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \sum_{I,i} L_i^I$$

$$L_i^I = - \frac{\sqrt{1 + 2\lambda(-(\dot{X}_i^I)^2 + (X_i^I - X_{i+1}^I)^2/a^2)(1 - \lambda\mu_I^2(X_i^I)^2/2)} - (1 - \lambda\mu_I^2(X_i^I)^2)}{2\lambda(1 - \lambda\mu_I^2(X_i^I)^2/2)}.$$

- We could try the same, with

$$X_i^I = \frac{a_i^I e^{-i\mu_I t} + (a_i^I)^\dagger e^{i\mu t}}{\sqrt{2}},$$

- Following the same steps doesn't give a diagonal Hamiltonian, since  $A_j^I \equiv [(a_j^I + a_j^{\dagger I}) - (a_{j+1}^I + a_{j+1}^{\dagger I})]$  doesn't lead to  $\sum_j (A_j^I)^2$  as before. Now there is time dependence.

- Also in (Pozsgay, Jiang, Takacs, 2019 and Manchetto, Sfondrini, Yang 2019), argued that for spin chains,  $T\bar{T}$  corresponds to Bargheer, Beisert, Loebert 2018,2019 deformation: Bethe-Yang equations

$$e^{ip_j R} \prod_{k \neq j}^N S(p_k, p_j) = 1$$

deformed to

$$e^{ip_j R + i\alpha(X_j Y - Y_j X)} \prod_{k \neq j}^N S(p_j, p_k) = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow S(p_j, p_k) \rightarrow e^{i\alpha(X_j Y_k - X_k Y_j)} S(p_j, p_k)$$

with  $X_j = p_j$ ,  $Y_j = H(p_j)$ .

- Note also that [Baggio, Sfondrini 2018](#) consider the above deformation for  $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$  pp wave in  $T^4$  directions and it matches the free massless boson on worldsheet  $T\bar{T}$  deformation, but [Sfondrini, van Tongeren 2019](#) consider it for  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  and find some other deformation.

- But rather, we use the Gross et al. prescription for  $T\bar{T}$  deformation of QM system (note: dim.red. of  $T\bar{T}$ -holography, not  $T(\bar{T})$  in 1d). Then,

$$H(\lambda) = \mu \frac{1}{4\lambda\mu} \left[ 1 - \sqrt{1 - 8\lambda\mu \sum_{i,I} \left( \frac{a_i^I (a_i^I)^\dagger + (a_i^I)^\dagger a_i^I}{2} + \frac{1}{a^2} \left( \frac{a_i^I + (a_i^I)^\dagger}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{a_{i+1}^I + (a_{i+1}^I)^\dagger}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^2} \right) \right]$$

and so the eigenenergies are

$$E(\lambda, g^2 N, n/J) = \mu \frac{1}{4\lambda\mu} \left( 1 - \sqrt{1 - 8\lambda\mu \sqrt{1 + \frac{g^2 N}{\pi^2} \sin^2 \frac{\pi n}{J^2}}} \right).$$

- Deformation preserves integrability! ( $H_0$  has conserved quantities  $\Rightarrow f(H_0)$  also has).

## Deformation of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM

- Symmetries now continue to be the same:  $[SO(2)_1 \times SO(4)_1] \times [SO(2)_2 \times SO(4)_2]$ , also  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  susy (unique!)  $\Rightarrow$  Deformed sector within  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM.
- pp wave has symmetry operators

$$\begin{aligned}h &= \xi_{e^+} = -\partial_+ , \quad \xi_{e^-} = -\partial_- , \\ \xi_{e_i} &= -\cos(\mu x^+) \partial_i - \mu \sin(\mu x^+) \tilde{y}^i \partial_- , \quad i = 1, \dots, 8 \\ \xi_{e_i^*} &= -\mu \sin(\mu x^+) \partial_i + \mu^2 \cos(\mu x^+) \tilde{y}^i \partial_- , \\ \xi_{M_{ij}} &= x_i \partial_j - x_j \partial_i , \quad i, j = 1, \dots, 4 \text{ or } 5, \dots, 8.\end{aligned}$$



- Algebra

$$\begin{aligned} [e_i, e_j^*] &= (\mu e) \delta_{ij} \\ [h, e_i] &= \mu e_i^*, \quad [h, e_i^*] = -\mu e_i, \\ [M_{ij}, e_k] &= -\delta_{ik} e_j + \delta_{jk} e_i, \quad [M_{ij}, e_k^*] = -\delta_{ik} e_j^* + \delta_{jk} e_i^*. \end{aligned}$$

- Define

$$\begin{aligned} a_i &= \frac{e_i + i e_i^*}{\sqrt{2\mu}}, & a_i^\dagger &= \frac{e_i^* - i e_i}{\sqrt{2\mu}} \\ M_{ij} &= i(a_i^\dagger a_j - a_j^\dagger a_i), \\ ih &= \frac{\mu}{e} \sum_i a_i^\dagger a_i. \end{aligned}$$

• Then

$$(\pm i)H = \mu \sum_i \tilde{a}_i^\dagger \tilde{a}_i = \sum_{n \geq 1} c_n \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[ \lambda \mu_0 \sum_i \tilde{a}_{0,i}^\dagger \tilde{a}_{0,i} \right]^n$$

is obtained from

$$\tilde{a}_i = \sum_{n \geq 0} \tilde{c}_n \left[ \lambda \mu \sum_j \tilde{a}_{0,j}^\dagger \tilde{a}_{0,j} \right]^n \tilde{a}_{0,i} ,$$

$$\frac{1}{4\lambda} (1 - \sqrt{1 - 8\lambda x}) \equiv \sum_{n \geq 1} c_n \lambda^{n-1} x^n \Rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{1}{4\lambda} (1 - \sqrt{1 - 8\lambda x})} \equiv \sqrt{x} \sum_{n \geq 0} \tilde{c}_n \lambda^n x^n .$$

- Thus  $(e_0, \tilde{a}_{0,i}, \tilde{a}_{0,i}^\dagger)$  and  $(e, \tilde{a}_i, \tilde{a}_i^\dagger)$  satisfy the same algebra if also  $e = e_0$  and

$$M_{ij} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \left[ \lambda \mu_0 \sum_j \tilde{a}_{0,j}^\dagger \tilde{a}_{0,j} \right]^n M_{0,ij}.$$

- Thus we have *equivalence* of the 2 sets.
- Undeformed generators on undeformed BMN operators give

$$(\tilde{a}_{0,i})^\alpha{}_\beta = \frac{\delta}{\delta(\Phi_i)^\alpha{}_\beta}, \quad (\tilde{a}_{0,i}^\dagger)^\alpha{}_\beta = (\Phi_i)^\alpha{}_\beta \mathbf{In},$$

where  $\Phi^i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 4$  are 4 scalars inserted inside  $\text{Tr}[Z^J]$  and  $\mathbf{In}$  refers to insertion inside the trace.

- Operators of deformed sector: vacua: same,  $e = e_0 \rightarrow$  undeformed  $p^+ \rightarrow J$ . Same vacuum  $\text{Tr}[Z^J]$ , but insert

$$a_m^{\dagger i} |0\rangle \sim \sum_l \text{Tr} \left[ Z^l \left( \sum_{n \geq 0} \tilde{c}_n \left[ \lambda \mu_0 \sum_j \Phi^j \frac{\delta}{\delta \Phi_j} \right]^n \right) \Phi_i Z^{J-l} \right] e^{\frac{2\pi m l}{J}}.$$

- $\rightarrow$  *Deformed* BMN sector, equivalent to original one  $\rightarrow H(\lambda)$  has both same eigenstates (undeformed BMN sector) and new eigenstates (deformed BMN sector), since they are equivalent.  $H(\lambda)$  in *undeformed* eigenstates gives  $E(\lambda)$ ,  $H(\lambda)$  in deformed eigenstates gives  $E_0$ .

- Obs:  $H_\lambda = f(\lambda, H_0)$  gives in general  $L_\lambda \neq g(\lambda, L_0)$ .

## 5. Conclusions

- Extended holographic dual of "single-trace  $T\bar{T}$  deformations" from  $AdS_3 \times S^3 \times T^4$  to  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  and took Penrose limit: spin chain of a dipole theory (noncommutative?)
- $T\bar{T}$  of string worldsheet on  $AdS_5 \times S^5$  pp wave discretized  $\rightarrow$  difficult to solve.
- $T\bar{T}$  of spin chain (discretized string worldsheet on pp wave), via Gross et al. gives a deformed  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  SYM large charge sector equivalent to the original one.

# IGST 2024



June 17 - 21  
ICTP-SAIFR, Sao Paulo

Local Organizers:  
Thiago Fleury, Horatiu Nastase,  
Enrico Olivucci, Pedro Vieira

There will probably be a conference on Bootstrap/Integrability interface the week before IGST. To be confirmed.

POST 2024

See you next June in

São Paulo!

June 17 - 21

