Q-operators for Open Quantum Spin Chains

Robert Weston
Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh

IGST, June 23

Plan

- Q-operators for closed chains
- Q-operators for open chains
  - the challenge
  - the resolution: universal-K

Intro

Pause

- Sklyanin-K/Universal-K connection
- Light sketch of the rest
- Discussion

More details

Joint work with Alec Cooper and Bart Vlaar:
arXiv:2001.10760, 2301.03997

Introduction

Q-operators for closed spin chains

- $Q(z)$ introduced in 72 by Baxter for 8-V model

(i) Diagonalizable & polynomial

(ii) $[T(z), Q(z)] = [Q(z), Q(z')] = 0$

(iii) $T(z)\,Q(z) = a(z)\,Q(qz) + b(z)\,Q(q^{-1}z)$

$\Rightarrow$ Bethe Eqns

- QISM / Quantum group picture [Sklyanin, BLZ 96]

2 dim $U_q(\hat{sl}_2)$ repn

$T(z) =$ 

∞ dim $U_q(b_+)$ repns.

$Q(z) =$ 

Univ $\mathcal{R} \in U_q(b_+) \otimes U_q(b_-)$

[also need twist]

$\bar{Q}(z) =$ 

$\exists$ $U_q(b_+)$ intertwiner $\mathcal{O}$

$U_q(\hat{sl}_2)$ Verma module

[Khoroshkin / Tsuboi 14]



$U_q(b_+)$ filtered module





Factorization

or $\quad Q(q^{-\mu}z)\,\overline{Q}(q^{\mu}z) = T_{\mu}(z)$

& $\quad T^{(m)}(z) = T_{\mu}(z) - T_{-\mu}(z) \quad ; \quad \mu = -\frac{(m+1)}{2}$

$\leftrightarrow$ spin $m/2$ transfer matrix ; Generalised [HJ 11, FH 13]

• Q-operators for open spin chains

[Frassek/Szécsényi 15, Baseilhac/Tsuboi 17, Vlaar/Weston 20, Tsuboi 20]

Looks easy!

$T(z) =$



[Sklyanin 88]

$Q(z) =$



$\bar{Q}(z) =$



but until recently, full alg. picture of origin of TQ relns missing.

The challenge for full algebraic picture

$U_q(\hat{sl}_2)$ repn

∞-dim $U_q(b+)$ repn.



co-ideal subalgebra intertwiner

– but no action on our $U_q(b+)$ repns !



$\overset{?}{=}$



Boundary factorization



$\overset{?}{=}$



Fusion

The resolution [Cooper/Vlaar/W 23]

- Exploit recent universal $\mathbb{K}$ matrix
  [Bao/Wang 18, Balagović/Kolb 19,
  Appel/Vlaar 20,22] CRM Lectures

- A = coideal subalgebra

  $\mathbb{K} \in U_q(b_+) =$  , $[\mathbb{K}, A] = 0$

   $=$  RE

- $\Delta(\mathbb{K}) \in U_q(b_+) \otimes U_q(b_+) =$ 

∞-dim $U_q(b_+)$ repn

So  α-dim repn of $\mathbb{K} \in U_q(b_+)$

 $=$  holds as equality of $U_q(b_+)$ intertwiners via Schur's Lemma.

Pause

More Details

Sklyanin-K/universal-K connection

(i) Sklyanin

- $U =$ underlying q-group ; $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$
  $A =$ boundary q-group or $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$
- Sklyanin [88] introduced A via FRT construction

$$R(z) \in \mathrm{End}(V \otimes V) \ ;$$



$$K^{(2)}(z) \in \mathrm{End}(V) \otimes A \quad =$$



Defines A

satifying RE

$$R(z_1/z_2)\, K_1^{(2)}(z_1)\, R(z_1 z_2)\, K_2^{(2)}(z_2)$$

$$= K_2^{(2)}(z_2)\, R(z_1 z_2)\, K_1^{(2)}(z_1)\, R(z_1/z_2)$$



- e.f. $L(z) =$  $\in \mathrm{End}(V) \otimes \mathcal{U}$

$R(z_1|z_2)\, L_1(z_1)\, L_2(z_2)$ 

$= L_2(z_2)\, L_1(z_1)\, R(z_1|z_2)$ 

- $K(z) = (\mathbb{1} \otimes \varepsilon)\, K^{(2)}(z)$

counit.

$=$  $\in \mathrm{End}(V)$

- Coproduct $(\mathbb{1} \otimes \Delta)\, K^{(2)} =$  $\in \mathrm{End}(V) \otimes \mathcal{U} \otimes A$ $(*)$

$\Delta : A \to \mathcal{U} \otimes A$, so coideal subalgebra.

- $(\mathbb{1} \otimes \varepsilon)\, \Delta = \mathbb{1}$; so $(\mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes \varepsilon)$ $(*)$

$K^{(2)} =$  $=$ 

- A gen by matrix elements

$$x_{ab} = \langle a | K^{(2)} | b \rangle =$$



$\in A$

Prop Given repn π_W of A on W

we have

$$K_W \, \pi_W(x_{ab}) = \pi_W(x_{ab}) \, K_W$$

[Delius / Mackay 03]

Proof RE



—

Hence, K_W is A intertwiner (& $K^{(2)} \in \mathrm{End}(V) \otimes A$)

(ii) The universal K-matrix picture

[Bao/Wang 18, Balagović/Kolb 19, Appel/Vlaar 20/22]

- $\mathcal{U}$ = underlying q-group ;
  A = coideal subalgebra ;
  B = upper Borel subalg.

- universal $\mathbb{K}$ is constructed for wide class of coideal sub. A associated with quantum affine algebra :

  $\mathbb{K} \in B$  ; with

* $\mathbb{K}\, x = x\, \mathbb{K}$ with $x \in A$

* $\mathbb{K}$ satisfies universal (twisted) RE .

* $\Delta(\mathbb{K}) =$  $\in B \otimes B$

- If we have B repn π,

then $K = \pi(\mathbb{K}) =$ 

If π not an A repn, no

$$K\,\pi(x) = \pi(x)\,K \qquad x \in A$$

- Connection to Sklyanin's $K^{(2)} =$  is

just

$\mathbb{K}^{(2)} =$  $\in B \otimes A$

$$K^2 = (\pi_B \otimes \mathbb{1})\,\mathbb{K}^2 \in \mathrm{End}(V) \otimes A.$$

Summary

$\mathbb{K}^{(2)} =$  $\in \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{A}$

$K^{(2)} =$  $\in \mathrm{End}(V) \otimes \mathcal{A}$

$\mathbb{K} =$  $= (\mathbb{1} \otimes \varepsilon)$  $\in \mathcal{B}$

$K =$  $= (\mathbb{1} \otimes \varepsilon)$  $\in \mathrm{End}(V)$

Light sketch of the rest

- We consider $U_q(\widehat{sl_2})$ case and

A = augmented q-Onsager algebra

with $K_{\frac{1}{2}}(z) = \begin{pmatrix} \xi z^2 - 1 & \\ & \xi - z^2 \end{pmatrix}$

[Sklyanin 88, Baseilhac/Belliard 13]

- $K_B = \pi_B \, \mathbb{K}$ well defined for all $U_q(b+)$ level-0 repns (including the 4 ∞-dim ones required for factorization).

- In practice find K_B by solving RE involving

e.g.



1-dim soln. space



R-matrices also well defined as repn

All repns ,  ,  , etc have relatively simple q-osc. expressions.

e.g.  $= e_{q^2}(q^2\, \bar{a}_1^{\dagger} a_2)\, q^{\nu (D_2 - D_1)/2}$

[Khoroshkin / Tsuboi 14]

- Final step

$$Q(q^{-N}z)\,\bar{Q}(q^{N}z) =$$ 

$=$  $=$  $=$ 

$=$  $=$ 

$=$ 

$\propto \quad T_N(z)$

reproduces known Bethe Eqns.

Discussion

- Question: does this generalise to

(i) Non-diagonal K matrices for sl_2 case

(ii) Other $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$?

- Partial answers

(i) We think so, although RE alg. becomes modified by twist.

However, technically more difficult to complexity of solving



(ii) We hope so; generalises to prefundamental repns [Jimbo/Hernandez, Frenkel/Hernandez] and 'TQ relns' in closed case conj. by [Frenkel/Reshetikhin], proved by [Frenkel/Hernandez].